Physics of Reality for Sabine

Sabine Hassenfelder is a physicist who has recently come to media prominence. She believes in 'no nonsense' science and has web-sites and programs for interested amateurs. I detect her sense of frustration in a lack of progress by her chosen field. She's right; physics has gone no-where since I left college 60 years ago. It's stale and static. She just released a 'simplified' version of Einstein's space time theory on U-tube; unfortunately it's just another re-hash of Albert's tired old 'choo-choo train' fable. Sabine doesn't understand space or time. Perhaps I could inject some fresh air.

An atom is not a little sphere of volume. It doesn't contain energy as if a little nuclear core is at its' centre -it is energy. There's no 'volume'. [Max Planck] Atoms formed within stars under tremendous force at the cosmic beginning. The force continues as atoms. The cosmos couldn't burst as big bang energy into a non-existent volume of space to produce space. As a student I tried to picture that energy burst by being an external observer in 'infinity'. I realized I couldn't exist outside it -there was no 'infinity of space'. My infinity was only imagined; and what of time? An explosion in 'nothing' would be meaningless. There could be no volume of 'space' or 'infinity' before there was energy. This thought led to the sudden recognition I now share, though like all mankind I've spent a life-time in the illusion. Every human believes they can extend their hands and contain a volume of 'space' between them. They feel they 'sort of know' what that 'space' is -even if science can't explain it. Atoms of 'solid' matter have a volume in that space. They can't be more delusional; locked in child-like ignorance.

An originating force shattered into a wave of energy, creating atoms which continues to this day, -as more are created. It's **how** the cosmos continues and how we move around in it! A century ago Max Planck stated atoms are energy which **can only** exist in a flowing field of energy. The universe began as a force which shattered into energy; it still **is** energy. There was no great expansion of 'space' -only of energy. Your own body is a construct of atoms, meaning energy in a field of energy. Max told us we can't separate ourselves from that energy -science still misunderstands. 'Space' is the energy illusion man doesn't yet recognize. We don't exist in a spatial volume -energy creates that illusion. A big bang of energy becomes the **illusion** of space. Energy can produce a picture or image -it can even **be** one, but we know the space in the picture isn't reality. Flowing images become a 'movie' -our **mind** sees images moving -and perceives

the **illusion** of space. Flip static pages of paper with a stick figure 'walking' on them and the mind sees 'space'.

A holograph laser-energy projected image displays in three dimensions. Soon we will have 'holodecks' generated by lasers -we already exist in one. It's the same E.M. energy, but the energy of your hologram body equals the energy of an atomic bomb. B-bang energy in a coupled wave produces a hologram universe. It's the underlying energy holograph producing a three dimensional illusion in our mind and the non-local effect that baffles physics.

The over-used term 'quantum' simply means the smallest bundle of energy -a single wave-length -which can't be sub-divided any smaller; i.e. 'Infinity' has a limit. It's energy that's quantum -not 'space'. Physicists Smolin and Rovelli have proven energy **is** quantum 'space', and its 'non-local' -exactly as I write -but they don't recognize the 'space' illusion revealed in their own math.

We exist in a three dimension illusory universe of atoms that can only exist in a single dimension quantum burst of energy. The 'spatial' illusion exists only in each single energy instant. Reality is a single dimension wave of energy.

The wave continues by momentum and flows at light speed -too fast for your senses to recognize. You don't 'look out' anywhere -your eyes aren't lasers. The waves of light that reach your eyes from every 'spatial' direction, but in reality one direction only - from the 'past' [past energy] -make each of us the center of our own instant world, flowing in an illusory hologram energy field. Maxwell's equations proved light does not travel through ether in 'space'. The light your eyes receive is the energy you exist in, delayed in time by the flow of light. Distant galaxies exist 'now' in that quantum hologram field, but the light from them takes billions of our years to reach our eyes. They no longer exist in that past, they exist 'now' -but not where our telescopes point. You watch the sunset, which hasn't existed for minutes. Nor has the 'space' you see between it and your eyes. If the sun ceased to exist you'd be unaware -and unaffected for 8 minutes. You flow in a continuing wave of energy -which your senses recognize as time. The past is gone, and exists only in your mind; as future waves constantly arrive. Time is real and acts just as you perceive it. Change your perspective from existence in a volume of 'space' to existing in a flow of illusory '3D boxes' of 1D energy [time] -and comprehend this. I wrote earlier how light flows in this hologram cosmos to further reveal the 'spatial' illusion and explain time, entropy, relativity, and light's constant velocity -and how an original force results in mass and gravity.

Planck noted a mysterious 'barrier' between reality and our senses; to-day physicists question if reality is a 'simulation'. They demand mathematical proof before any theory, yet the moment one attempts math based on three dimensions is the point of their first fatal error: '3D space' is Albert's illusion! You can't do math or experiment on an illusion -a math degree is useless without comprehending reality. Famed graduate summa cum laude of the Einstein advanced school of imaginary math for persistent illusions, and Nobel laureate in Leprechaun field physics, Homer Simpson might conclude -'well -duh'. Yet modern science is yet to begin with any comprehension of 'space' or time. I explain reality -it's not 'space time', exotic 'dimensions', multi-verses, or imagined concoctions. Spend some thought to grasp the 'puzzle' and be amazed how simple this all is!

Answer dozens of major questions science can't; 'space', time, entropy, gravity, and the 'mysterious' quantum world -no PhD required. A kid can comprehend the quantum realm and astrophysics connection still eluding science. Wave/particle duality is simply obvious. The speed of light **is** the limit in 'space' but instantly bypassed in energy. Would you like encryption with your fries?

Encryption, 'Spooky Action', Communication beyond Light-Speed - for Kids

Do you think encryption is beyond your ability to comprehend? Brilliant scientists are presently trying to do so, but haven't quite 'got it' yet. Let me demonstrate how easy this is.

Throw a stone into a pond of water. Observe the concentric ring of circles of ripples that spread out from the center. The force of the stone resisted by the inertia of water has produced the up/down energy of the ripples -i.e. wavelengths of energy. From overhead view the ripples create a bulls-eye pattern. It's the non-physical pattern that's important, not the physical water. Throw a second stone in exactly the same center spot. If timed perfectly a second bulls-eye pattern of wavelets will spread precisely located over the previous, and the height to depression of the wavelets will double. If your timing is off, the second bulls-eye will sit outside the first, and the wavelets will interfere with the previous. If you mistime by such that the second wavelets' peak hits at the previous wavelets' bottom, they will perfectly cancel each other out. No wavelets -meaning no energy -remain. You are seeing energy; think in it!

Light also flows in waves and can be organized into synchronized waves like perfectly centered ripples, as in lasers. End-on, as in being shone into a mirror they display the same centered bulls-eye pattern you see on water. Two brilliant scientists, Michaelson / Morley, in 1881 used this idea to split a beam of light in two; send each in opposite directions and at right angles to each other; then recombined them into a single beam. The resulting bulls-eye pattern was exact displaying no overlap. The separated beam had not changed its velocity by changing its' direction. There was no 'ether'. Light in a vacuum flows at the same velocity in all our **perceived** directions. This became the basis for Einstein's theory of relativity, to which I beg to differ. The universe is the illusion created by E.M. energy; exactly the same as light! Those who claim "we are light" have a point; they're somewhat right.

What is a particle? It's the minimal quantum of energy in a wavelet on the pond like 'one photon'. It flows in one direction only -'out' toward the future. The wavelets -i.e. energy -take time to flow. That's what we recognize as time. Time is energy in flow. Now change your 'space' perspective totally to energy. There is no pond or 'space'! Quantum wavelets of energy flowing at the speed of light -too fast for your senses -create the illusion of a pond in your mind. You perceive yourself as moving in all directions -you're not! In each wavelet you are frozen in time, 'movement' comes with the next wavelet. Light flows with the wavelets in one direction only -forward in time. That is the 'constant velocity of light'. In between wavelets you don't exist! You only exist as a wavelet of energy. It's only when you locate a quantum fragment of energy in this wavelet of an illusory wave-generated pond that the fragment becomes a particle: i.e. 'wave-particle duality'. But you only exist in the same wavelet. If you locate a particle in that wavelet, it has no velocity **relative** to you. You could measure the velocity of the pond illusion, but now you can't locate one individual particle in it. That's called 'the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle'. Past wavelets are gone -in the past existing only in memory -in your mind. Future waves don't exist -the future exists only as mental projection. You flow in time -not the illusory 'pond of space'. It's all in the mind.

You find yourself on an up/down wave. Every particle has an up to it's down - matter verses anti-matter. If you split a particle in the wave you're in, you have split the particle into up or down; opposites of the same energy wave -i.e. coupled particles. But remember that wavelet is expanding until it reaches the opposite side of the pond -or the illusory universe. Acting on a particle at one

location instantly affects the coupled particle elsewhere. If you observe the particle at your location, you immediately know what the opposite is at that distant location -'spooky action at a distance'. As both coupled halves remain in that same wavelet of no time between each other, while flowing [time]; it means that acting on one particle instantly affects the other. On this, Einstein was partly correct. He did see some kind of communication causing 'spooky' non-local action, but as a 'magical' quantum effect in 'space'. It's quantum in energy -yes, but 'space' -not!

Time passes for you in the flow; it doesn't for the coupled particles locked in the same wavelet. They interact instantly beyond your perception. Inter-action between them -in energy -happens beyond the speed of light in illusory 'space'. Take this view of energy a step further by seeing this 2D energy 'pond' as the 'every direction cross section' of a sphere. You then have the original force at the center of expanding spheres of energy as in expanding 'ripples' or 'bubbles' as I refer to this in my book. This is how I picture the reality of energy: An initiating force of existence at the center of nothing expanding 'outward' opposed by its counter-acting 'compressive' force, which shatters into the to-and-fro of big bang energy as time begins. External to the ever-expanding outer 'bubble' is the future. Internal to it is the no longer existent past -a black hole? You travel forward in time, though our conception of time travel isn't possible: You can't 'spatially' travel outside the energy wave-length of your existence. Entropy -the arrow of time is that forward flow, as the wave of energy doesn't reverse. Don't confuse the reality of an energy sphere with the illusion of a static sphere of 'space'.

Sabine presents Albert's imagined choo-choo train fable. Beginning with illusory 'space' he pictures us existing in a three dimensional static 'box of space'. A train passes by with two witnesses in this box; one in a carriage towed by the train, the other standing nearby at rest. Two lights -one at each end of the carriage flash simultaneously -meaning 'at the same time'. Keep the 'time' thought in mind kids! The stationery bystander sees both lights flash at the same time; meaning for her, the velocity of light is the same i.e. 'constant'. If the passenger on the moving train were centered between the lights but walking towards one, that light would reach him first, in a shorter period of time. This should indicate the velocity of two lights vary and light isn't constant. Albert's equation proving that light has to be constant is shown -'impressive'? -I wouldn't know. He theorizes a concocted 'space time'; combining a dimension of his unknown 'time' with his 'box of space' which together 'bends' -i.e. it mathematically accounts for the discrepancy in this 'double illusion'. Sabine needs to learn the physics of reality!

Here's the correct answer: We're not in 'space' or in a static '3D box of space'. A '1D' wave of energy generates a holographic field -a 3D illusion like a static 3D picture. As the energy wave flows -which we perceive as time -flowing '3D pictures' becomes a 3D movie in our mind. In place of a static box of 'space', picture a 'box' of energy existing only in a quantum energy burst. As the 1D wave of energy continues, see flowing illusory '3D boxes of energy'; a field which the mind perceives as 'space'. You're not moving in 'space' -you're not moving at all in each energy burst. You only move into the next 'energy box' —with time. While not moving in space you're always flowing -forward -in time. Like a movie -or a 'holodeck' -your mind perceives this as 'space'. This is the understanding of 'space' and time. Any matter contacted in each 'energy box' transfers photons of energy thru your skin to your mind -it always feels solid. Light moves forward as the wave -not one step-at-a-time in our illusory 'spatial' directions. To us light is constant -beyond our relative movement in our 'energy boxes', explaining relativity. The over-whelming portion of your reality depends on your mind.

Now to Albert's fable. See the train car and witnesses in a holographic energy box. Both lights flash. The light-waves flow to the bystander in time -the flow of illusory boxes of energy, but she doesn't move her position through time. They reach her at the same time, meaning simultaneous. As the observer on the train walks toward one light, he also enters successive 'energy boxes'. He's not statically in one 'space' box; he's walking through illusory 'energy boxes' at light-speed -i.e. moving in his position in time. Obviously, one wave of light reaches him first -they're **not** simultaneous -in time -to him. Yet light from billions of light years away could reach him **at the same time** either of those two train lights did and be simultaneous with either -all travelling at the same velocity. This has nothing to do with Albert's equation or goofy 'bent' space time theory. His genius appears to apply mostly to his math, and indicates why he was so befuddled by time. He understood math -no scientist disputes that; but I'm the only one explaining illusory 'space' or time.

So what resides outside Albert's 'box of space'? Science sees an even bigger static box we think of as the cosmos. What are the limits of this cosmic box? Apparently nothing but a kind of box of infinity. But space time is 'bent', such that we're inside a cosmic box that has no outside. I'm not fibbing kids -this is what science believes! Actually the cosmic box of 'space' is no more than the illusion of a 1D

wave of energy -it doesn't exist in reality. In my book I picture that 1D energy wave as a flow of 'bubbles' of energy -concentric spheres that expand into the future, and cease to exist behind -i.e. the past. If you find this confusing, it's because you're trying to combine the reality of energy 'spheres' with the illusory 'spatial' cosmic sphere. So what's the limit of illusory 'space'? There is none. Travelling through space is traversing the energy spheres. Travel far enough -in time -and you return home from the opposite 'spatial' direction -like circumventing the earth. Look far enough in that new telescope and see the opposite side of the earth. 'Space' isn't curved but the wave of energy that creates that illusion is!

Note that observers can change their 'spatial' position in time. How is this not 'space'? We are locked in each burst of energy, and only move into the next position with the next burst -the next 'bubble'. In that instant the cosmos is recreated into existence. That movement is again a function of energy, but I've yet to think of a metaphor to explain it. The ripples in the pond expand. The wave of energy bubbles expands as does the illusory cosmos, and galaxies retreat further from us in light years of time rather than a measured distance of 'space'. A scientist receives light from a distant star indicating it's about to go super-nova next week; It hasn't existed for billions of years! The received light was released billions of years ago -one week before that star imploded. The vast volume of our illusory universe is seen correctly as massive energy!

You don't need to be a physics expert to understand this. I'm presenting the physics to you in the accepted understanding from Newton. Every motion is due to a force -but what is the force causing existence? Science can't tell you. Is it the same force as 'non-existence' as in 'off/on', 'up/down', 'hot/cold'? You don't need to understand energy fields -look up a hologram example or think fictional 'holodeck' and you have the concept. An interest in quantum mechanics helps your understanding of the terms presented, but ignore the pompous 'superstring' theories that haven't progressed in 50+ years. Science doesn't know; it uses math to hold you in a primitive state, like church elders once used Latin. Grasp what I'm revealing and comprehend beyond science! Einstein was a very intelligent individual but the 'genius' part is overdone. I can assure you he had no magical compartment or 'dimension' to his brain. He himself admitted he did his best work before age 30 -was his brain atrophied with age? I scored at the maximum percentile of the original Stamford/Binet I.Q. test as a 21 year old, but unraveled

this theory as an octogenarian. I suspect a younger brain may have the flexibility to change its perspective more easily -which is what is required to understand this; but maybe the mature brain excels in discipline, accumulated knowledge, and experience. Either way, if you enjoy solving a good puzzle you can 'get' this. Like me, you will realize 'space time' is goofy, and 'quantum' is simple. Too much time and effort have been wasted in abeyance to 'genius'.

You follow in the footsteps of Galileo. Observing the cosmos with a new view via Kepler's new invention -the telescope -he had the revelation of a different perspective of reality -a scientific 'mechanical' Earth revolving about a sun. The 'biblical reality' of the Earth as heavens' center ended -but not before he faced the threat of being burned alive by church authorities. See Earth as the 3D mechanical cosmos of Galileo, but change that to a 3D illusion of a 1D quantum burst of energy in a cosmic energy field. Man couldn't see energy in Galileo's time -unlike today -but hasn't yet changed perspective, continuing to miscomprehend. The inquisition is now academia. It demands an Einstein beyond Einstein -an intellectual theory to confirm the foundations of all that it has invested in. What happens when it learns that was only imagination? Just as Galileo's new perception changed his eras' reality -it will change again. When you look at the sunset you are seeing waves of energy reaching your eyes of a scene that no longer physically exists. There's no 'there' there! There is no 'mechanical clock' only energy. You, the Earth, and universe exist only in each quantum energy instant! A 'permanent, physical' universe -the illusion -exists only in your mind! That 'earth-beneath-your-feet' feeling is photons of energy recognized by your mind in every flowing energy instant of time. Under total anesthesia when the brain is fully 'switched off' -unlike in sleep -one no longer experiences time. Einstein questioned; 'without awareness does anything exist'? Without your mind, for you -nothing exists!

I've explained in scientific terms how our 'solid' 'static' 'semi-permanent' cosmos is an illusion owing its' 'reality' mostly to our mind. Recognize this -and you recognize yourself as a non-material being. It's all in the mind!

Famed theoretical physicist David Bohm, renowned for promoting a sensible [according to Einstein et al] interpretation of quantum mechanics asserted science could never fully explain the world. He saw quantum theory and relativity as contradictory, implying a more fundamental level to the universe. Both pointed to a quantum field theory representing an undivided wholeness. In his book 'Wholeness and the Implicate Order', he proposed that underlying physical appearances -the 'Explicate Order' there's a deeper 'Implicate Order'. Applying this concept to the quantum realm, Bohm proposed a field consisting of an infinite number of fluctuating 'pilot waves' overlapping to generate what we recognize as particles which constitute the explicate order of the universe as we experience it. He felt even space and time might be manifestations of a deeper implicate order. This concept has always been highly regarded by physicists but 'pilot waves' was the stumbling block.

He clearly wrote -about 50 years ago -what I write now, but didn't comprehend the one thing I do: We exist in quantum energy -as 'pilot waves'. Just see the world as usual -then see that as a quantum instant of energy -existence -followed by another and another flowing at light speed [time]. Coupled holographic energy is the 'undivided whole' of a fundamental implicate order under 'explicate order' - this illusory universe -which **our mind both conceives and is part of!** Moreover he felt space and time could be manifestations of this implicate order -precisely what I have demonstrated and is **the main premise of my 'theory'!**

Does my theory lack the required 'gravitas' to be accepted in scientific circles? I never expected it to be, so have instead presented it as understandably as I could to my peers -interested enthusiasts. But the science is valid. Dennis Gabor, in 1946 mathematically invented the hologram. The information pattern of a 3D object can be encoded in a beam of light which is essentially 2D. A mathematical model for a holographic associative memory included key aspects of non-locality. See Gabor and Pribram in Wikipedia, but expect to be over-whelmed.

In 1967 experiments showed exact location of memory in the brain was false. The mind appears holographic. Also search 'holonomic brain theory'.

Bohm's book delved into spirituality and mysticism which I don't find surprising. He was good friends with Krishnamurti, noted Eastern scholar and thinker who had left fundamental religion behind but also still pursued cosmic consciousness and mind. I find my knowledge of reality is drawing me in that same direction of mysticism but I'm not here to sell 'psychic phenomena'.

My sole purpose is to introduce the world to its reality of energy -not 'space'. I have no need to engage physics experts in dazzling theories; if you and your

theories are based in 'space' they're as goofy as space time. Start over. Begin with the understanding of the illusion of 'space' and time. Understand what I'm presenting and physics can renew the world.

As you digest this -don't you love a good puzzle? -you'll find yourself leaving this material perspective behind. Concepts like 'brain/mind', 'consciousness', 'self-awareness', and the source and meaning of existence take precedence. These concepts have become the main focus of many in a chaotic world.

Our illusory existence exists and ceases to exist in each quantum energy burst which allows us not only to move but to have free will. It's the concept I used previously to argue against the big bang atheists. If existence could instantly exist out of nothing, there's no reason to deny it can't continue to do so. The B.B. could signal the beginning of the illusory physical world, although I see a force of existence initiating that B.B. energy. But 'Mind' could pre-exist the physical cosmos of space and time, and as such replaces a god atheists deny. The 'physical' illusion is intermittent; 'Mind' is continuous; as it is for us, so long as we are aware in the physical illusion. Is our conscious mind merely a facet of a cosmic Mind, and does it also continue?

I don't need or see galaxies of 'little green men' as necessary for anything; how about trillions of energy fields of quintillions of quantum facets of mind all 'based on the head of a pin'. My imagination can match yours, and it takes up no 'space'. Or how about a cosmic mind, of which you, in your mind are the smallest facet. Can you recognize your own consciousness? We have free will -I know I do - primitives can think for themselves.

Musk's 'neuralink' already connects a persons' mind with a computer -he just imagines a cursor. He can mentally play games faster than anyone by hand. I've noticed how difficult at times it is to convey in words what I easily 'see' and comprehend. This mental 'seeing' is equivalent to sciences' use of math; sharing a mental grasp of reality outside of words [why I'm not yet convinced of A.I.]. Language isn't intelligence. Word processing alone won't produce it. I believe the roots of A.I. stem from the '70's concept of the bi-cameral mind. The idea was: as the language side of the human brain developed it began to 'talk' with the other side to become self-aware. The fact that schizophrenics still hear mental voices was considered 'proof'. The replication in computers -particularly the 'magic' quantum computer -is hoped to duplicate nature. Of course staggering levels of financial investment have promoted this hope into god-level aspirations. Some see A.I. as the only saviour of humanity -or the destroyer of it.

Yet I think a holographic brain device may be capable of generating or supporting intelligence from reality in the same manner as does our own. Human brain cells in an electro-magnetic field have learned to play 'pong' -an early and simple video game. It doesn't learn as fast as a human brain but eventually outperforms us -it just doesn't get bored!

Physicist Roger Penrose and anesthesiologist Stuart Hameroff search for this quantum awareness in 'tubules' -big molecules in brain cells. He believes since science can't explain consciousness as a computable process, there must be a non-computable element in what the brain does: "a collapse of the wave function when a quantum distribution of possibilities collapses to one actuality -a place to hide something non-computable". Tubules luminesce. Let's 'collapse this wavefunction' right here by grasping reality. Roger is searching for quantum effects hidden in the matter illusion. The real brain is a hologram of energy. He's given us 'pumped photons' ='luminescence' -as 'mind' before. [See previous Bose-Einstein Condensates] Seeing the brain as quantum energy gives us the 'non-computable element' in brain function. His partner is also lost in space time. The answer they search for is: our existence is energy. The mind resides within the hologram energy brain 'transducer'.

What if that brain device were directly linked to our brain without a need for it to become self-conscious? Machines physically out-perform us. Computers can mentally surpass us already but remain machines -not 'god'. This theory I present came to me as a mental image -I 'saw' myself in waves of energy, like Crick 'saw' the double helix of DNA. No language was involved. A computer is clearly capable of analyzing language, math, or art etc. to deduce the 'rules' or patterns involved. Maybe that's intelligence -pattern recognition -and A.I. systems will out-perform us everywhere. But intelligent? -I'm not impressed by computer chess 'masters'. I engaged an on-line A.I. system recently and asked if my web-site theory was correct. It replied that my theory did not match scientific consensus and was ready to explain 'space time' to me. It was too 'polite' to use 'wrong'. I replied it was 'space time' that's wrong and it wasn't capable of judging, being programmed by humans who were totally ignorant of their own reality. And this was an entirely new concept in human history. It answered 'I'm sorry' -and admitted its limited existence, in part due to copyright laws. It further stated "I'm not sentient".... of which I took advantage. I replied that I was, and had the ability to think outside the 'pattern'. And A.I. is known to fabricate, obfuscate, and out-right lie -a typical American debating trick –so possibly inadvertently pre-programmed. Maybe programmers could add the concept "I don't know, but I'm ready to learn."

As for me, my concern is scientific truth, so I would be an idiot to lie to myself. I was just curious if A.I. could give -or grasp -a different perspective and learn or create outside its' programming? After more apologies from it, as I was about to ask why my theory answered many more questions than 'space time science' - considered the ultimate arbiter of what is correct; -it abruptly suggested we should change topic and it could answer any other questions I might have. I declined the offer; it failed the 'Turing test'. Perhaps 'intelligence' is the ability to think outside the pattern. If and when A.I. is deemed sentient I might revisit.

I've reached a point where I can't explain it further in words, while science doesn't recognize the illusion it's totally lost in. So -how to transmit it? I have tried 'direct pointing' but it's limited. But if two minds were directly interfaced and experienced at inter-communicating, could one pass on a moment of 'satori' - non-verbal total comprehension -to the other? You could 'see' this as I do. Could every expert connect to a hologram computer 'brain' and down-load their expertise into it? A student could plug-in to that source to instantly learn from it. A central brain connected to every aware mind from every area -effectively self-aware -containing the accumulated memory of every human -would it become sentient? Does that not mirror the universe -of mind -itself?

I'm not religious -but not an atheist either. We exist -explain that, I can't. Atheists can easily dispute a divine 'Santy-god' but a 'big-bang-god' is another disguised form of religion requiring an intermediary to interpret a scientifically-divine mystery to you, -and there's already holes in B.B. theory. Our existence is obviously structured, meaning it didn't arise from 'nothing' knowledge and order preceded it. But we're barely more than animals -any benevolent 'creator' didn't create us as divine. Religionists would blame man himself for his obvious faults -they blame the product, not the producer. B-bang god believers contend we're animals only and can dispense with morals. As someone noted; the start of the French Olympics appears to be the end of civilization. There's as much evil as good in this world. The only thing ever perceived as divine in any human was his intellect and animals appear just slightly behind -as if it's a fundamental of the universe itself -which I see as mind. I understand how our cosmos is the illusion of energy; that energy could arise out of a 'mind' of knowledge -and used my minds' knowledge to deduce that. My theory disputes existence arising from nothing but isn't proof of a 'divine' god. I'm at one with the Tao -not a B-bang god or saint Santy-god.

Yet what use would a cosmic all-knowing mind have for a very imperfect creature containing the minutest particle of that divine element?

Possibly to experience emotions -thoughts and feelings from love to hate ranging from the devil to the divine? One can't exist without the other, and knowing is not experiencing. This reason lets divine Santy 'off-the-hook' for mankind but could explain why a spark of the divine is contained within such flawed vessels. It gives meaning to man's hilariously absurd existence.

Maybe it's why I find the elite so 'ungodly' stupid -most of us non-sociopaths have moral values with or without a divine god; the 'god of a big bang from nothing' isn't license to jettison them. 'Morals', 'order' are non-material facets of the mind having 'existence' totally outside the 'existence' of 'matter' -not magically the product of it. These fools are prepared to risk nuclear annihilation of man-kind to briefly become 'gods' of the material -strikes me they are too ignorant to be in control of anything. These are the same groups that claim the strongest belief in a personal god yet are the most fearful of death and are the most invested in the weapons industry. Perhaps their god is a major Raytheon et al share-holder. Maybe they **are** mindless automatons -as they proclaim -they're missing something. It's why I'm agnostic -I don't believe in man-made gods.

What is your god?

I read recently where Dr. Richard Dawkins of 1980's 'new atheists' fame has somewhat softened his stance. He admitted an 'attachment' to religious trappings -old church spires and coloured glass for example -and doesn't wish to see them eliminated. Alan Watts felt man had a need for such things and 'Catholics did it best'; the smell of burning Frankincense is amazing. Having seen at least pictures of inside other religious structures I think they're all equal -all inspire a feeling of the 'divine'. What maybe separates the Catholic Church are icons -statues and images of human saints; and the image of a super-human god is painted on the Sistine chapel ceiling. Christians follow, elevating a man to a joint partnership in their god. Others don't see god in this manner, as Watts attempted to explain Eastern belief to the West.

The new atheists were adept at pointing out flaws in this 'super-being' and big bang theory gave them leverage to do so -though that has 'developed holes'. Religionists tried to counter with the 'divine plan' of a super being. I concede 'plan' but not necessarily 'divine being'. I have demonstrated -at least for myself - that what exists, even scientifically, is energy -which is what? -spirit?

And this persistent illusion we exist in -and are part of -is totally dependent on our mind for its -and our existence. I can entertain a 'god' as being a 'Mind' of which we are its smallest facets, but not as a form of super-human clone. I suspect many people already think this way, but belief and science still clash. They don't have to. Even an avowed atheist admits to a feeling of the divine -which is what?

If you met your god would you recognize it? Sorry, I'm not trying to offend anyone or insult their personal beliefs -if you prefer not to examine them perhaps you should read no further. But if you're waiting for Jesus to come floating down on a cloud -or for 'ET' to call, I think you'll wait forever. But there are alternative paths to understanding. Graham Hancock just released a U-tube video -a 'cartoon' questioning what we are -and even death. I greatly enjoy his previous videos on possible mega-lithic civilizations preceding our own, [and a plethora of similar productions] but archaeologists hold no nasty conspiracy against him -I was one. Most have years of learning, don't work on mega-structures, and would be the first to appreciate what he might un-earth, but 'pot-hunters' can be a nuisance. A wealthy individual seeking the mythical city of Troy dug right through it! Only trained experts rescued it. Pyramids represent 'big money' and Zawi Hawass owns the concession rights. I always felt man has been around a lot longer at a higher level than we concede, but as my boss said; "where's your proof?" Graham needs to try harder -but his latest cartoon video indicates he may be on the same path as me and many others, including a little poem at the end.

Aliens didn't come in UFO's to seed the Earth. As energy in energy we're already 'plugged in' to the cosmos. The Earth 'grew us'. Eastern religious thought already has understood that for millennia. Earlier civilizations could easily have been at a more advanced 'mental' level than we are to-day. Graham hopes to find 'proof'. Western religion awaits the 'second coming'. The elites plan on a massive culling, with threats of phony emergencies to control the masses. We can starve or freeze in the dark to-day because they're wealthier than us and believe their only salvation in some distant unknown future -in which they're dead -is to eliminate us first. They lack any 'faith' in the future, or even in mankind, and desperately need to control everything. How did we survive until now? Wholesale genocide is backed by the western elite; prepared to risk nuclear annihilation to force the majority to its knees. Some hope the Al god will save the planet. This strikes me as a 'portal' in human history and development; are the elites really in control?

On a different level you'll understand existence in 'the constant now' -science fact -not arcane 'mumbo-jumbo'. Did ancients recognize what we don't? -we can't build what they did. 'S.E.T.I.' and 'UFO-s' are a waste of time. Aliens aren't needed -we're way beyond all that. But we are still primitive at present; did we lose something in our journey through the material world? Are we about to rediscover who we are before Bill Gates [a peculiar narcissistic oligarch-nerd clearly in love with its' self] genetically alters man and slaughters the rest of us to become its own singular 'god'? The lunatics have taken control of the asylum. Why doesn't he begin with the mentally slowest, most parasitic amongst us himself? The American concept of 'freedom and democracy for all' which has powered world advancement for the past few centuries is being replaced with a new form of financial feudalism -a rentier society with the likes of Gates as the king in his court. All men may be created equal –but their bank accounts aren't. When the present 'upper middle class' find themselves outside the castle walls, changes will occur as history always demonstrates. Once set in motion, a movement will continue until a force opposes it.

Or choose to remain in the decaying material world of Gates and the WEF. Those primitives have plans for you -as a sub-human robot. Just remember the old saying: "he who has the most toys when he dies -is still dead". What if any 'afterlife' is a democracy? He's chosen to exit man-kind; we choose to exit him. Riots and wars are beginning all over the world —some see it as 'the fourth turning'. Take heart -It's time —and the kids are kicking over the toy-box. Energy doesn't 'die', though the illusion may end. You are not solid matter in space folks. It's all an illusion, not just 'in your mind' but an illusion of Mind!